Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,387	GERRITSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAID BROOME	2628
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Said Broome.	(3)	
(2) Thomas Kocovsky.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>08 June 2009</u> .		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1.6.13 and 14</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Intensive Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applications are supported to a complete program. If a recitie a "complete readable storage medium for storing a complete program"		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAWARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.		
/Said Broome/ Examiner, Art Unit 2628		

U.S. Petent and Tredemark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)